Conclusion

The specific goal of this text was to present in several focused chapters a coherent body of information that would allow general readers and students in particular to understand and evaluate multiple dimensions of Connecticut government at the start of the twenty-first century. It is hoped that readers of this work have not only gained valuable and useful information concerning Connecticut's political system, but have also gained an appreciation for the many virtues associated with Connecticut government.

Power has most definitely devolved to state governments in recent years and the American people will increasingly expect state governors, state legislators, and state judges to resolve an array of difficult and challenging policy problems. It is imperative therefore that state governments possess the resources, energy, and skill required to meet the needs of the citizenry. The public will demand efficient state government in the years ahead.

With specific regard to Connecticut, there is every reason to believe that the framework of state government is prepared to meet new and challenging demands. The Connecticut Constitution is a very vibrant document, and from all indications will protect and expand the civil rights and liberties of Connecticut residents. Connecticut will remain a "pocket of liberty" in the years ahead.

The Connecticut General Assembly, in light of the legislature's technological resources, professional staffing, and
support services, appears to be well equipped to respond to new and pressing public demands. The professional upgrading of the state legislature over the course of the last fifteen years also suggests foresight on the part of state lawmakers regarding the growing need for efficient and responsive legislative services.

The broad powers of the Connecticut governor, and more generally the modernization of the governor's office, will provide future chief executives with the authority and resources required to confront the many policy challenges that lie ahead. Dynamic, efficient, and creative executive leadership will be expected from those individuals elected to the office of governor.

The state's judicial system is also prepared to meet the many legal challenges that will emerge during the next century. Recent judicial reforms point to a state judicial system that is willing and able to resolve a plethora of complicated and unprecedented legal disputes. Moreover, there is reason to expect a very high quality of justice for Connecticut residents.

For the twenty-first century, citizens who wish to participate in the political process will discover several mechanisms that allow for meaningful and constructive citizen involvement. Elections for a broad range of local, state, and federal office will continue to offer opportunities for direct citizen participation. Other than age, registration, and residency requirements, there are virtually no barriers to voting in the state of Connecticut. Any person who is eighteen years of age or older, a legal resident of Connecticut, and who has complied with state registration requirements can vote for an array of candidates competing for numerous public offices. For those who wish to participate in primary elections, registration with a political party will most likely still be required. It should be noted, however, that at the time of this writing there appears to be growing momentum in Connecticut for open primary elections.

Volunteer activity within a political party will still prove to be a useful means by which Connecticut residents can participate in the political process. Although the role of party organizations has declined in recent decades and candidate-centered organizations have in some instances supplanted the party organization as a means of mobilizing voter support, the Democratic and Republican
town committees will nevertheless continue to offer rich opportunities for persons who wish to become actively involved in the political process. Moreover, town party committees will continue to serve as the principal mechanisms for launching candidacies for public office. Until the convention-centered nominating process is reformed in Connecticut, town committees will for all intents and purposes continue to serve as the “gatekeepers” for most elective offices in the state of Connecticut.

In addition to the two major political parties, there will also be a variety of alternative political parties in Connecticut that will most certainly facilitate citizen participation in politics. Although alternative or “minor” political parties seldom win elections, they do provide participatory opportunities for those citizens who have become disillusioned with the platforms of the two major parties. The Green Party, the Reform Party, the Libertarian Party, and the Concerned Citizens Party are examples of such parties. Although such parties are on the fringes of Connecticut politics, they nevertheless will serve as participatory channels in the years ahead.

Special and public interest groups are on the rise in Connecticut politics and from all indications will continue to proliferate. Interest groups will provide yet another avenue for citizen participation. Like political parties, interest groups will be searching for energetic and dedicated volunteers. Residents who appreciate very focused and intense political activity will find interest groups a rewarding experience. Interest group involvement can directly connect citizens to the machinery of government and allow persons to keep abreast of issues that are of immediate concern to their lives. With political party organizations declining, it is possible that interest groups might become the most strategic form of citizen participation in the twenty-first century. Whether one prefers the simple but important act of voting, working for a political party committee, or engaging in the activity of an interest group, a variety of mechanisms are in place to ensure that residents of Connecticut can participate directly in the political process.

When the state constitution, the decision-making structures of state government, and the channels of citizen participation are examined collectively, it is difficult for one not to be proud of
Connecticut's political system. It appears as if Connecticut's political system has evolved with time and has, in multiple ways, effectively responded to the legal, economic, social, and political needs of the state's population. Such findings are encouraging, particularly when one considers those issues that require the most immediate attention.

For example, despite the state supreme court ruling of *Sheff v. O'Neill* (1996), as well as an increase in state aid to inner-city public schools, there is still great disparity with respect to resources and student performance between urban and suburban schools in the state of Connecticut. This is a problem that must be resolved. Connecticut's prisons are also overcrowded and in need of additional staffing and support services. At the time of this writing, the problem of overcrowded prisons has been addressed by sending prisoners to penal institutions in the state of Virginia. This is a temporary solution to what is obviously a long-term problem. Additional job retraining programs are needed to prepare Connecticut's workforce for occupations that require technological sophistication and expertise. Many nursing homes in Connecticut are currently understaffed, and elderly patients are in need of personalized and professional medical attention. Moreover, there will be a growing demand to provide services for substance abusers, the mentally impaired, teenage parents, and victims of the AIDS virus. To compound such problems, Connecticut, at some point in the not-too-distant future, will most surely slide into economic recession. Governors and state lawmakers will no longer have the luxury of resolving policy problems with a budget surplus. Indeed, a budget surplus can become a budget deficit almost overnight. However, despite the vexing policy challenges that await the state of Connecticut, there is reason to believe that the policy-making institutions of state government along with the political mechanisms that facilitate citizen participation will most surely meet the demands and needs of the state's citizenry. From all indications, Connecticut government is well prepared to face the challenges of the twenty-first century.
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