

# Objectification of Girls Through Public School Dress Codes

Gosselin, The Objectification of Girls Through Public School Dress Codes

Morgan Gosselin, Exercise Science



**Research Question:** Does the unequal enforcement of dress codes reinforce the objectification of girls?

**Purpose:** Highlight flaws in public school dress codes that target female students and suggest ways to improve them



“Girls clothes are sexually suggestive”

“Boys have sex on the brain 24/7”

## Benefits of Dress Codes:

- Behavioral control
- Safety
- Creates a proper learning environment
- Sets standards
- Prepares students for the workplace

Published by DigitalCommons@SHU, 2022

## What is a dress code?

A dress code is a rule created by the school to regulate the appearance and behavior of the students



## How This Affects Girls:

- Dress codes often target and objectify girls through language
- Objectified by their teachers/administrators
- Bodies viewed as a problem and distraction
- Unequal and harsh enforcement without proper rationale



## How This Affects Boys:

- Boys are pinned as having no self-control and their urges are too strong and prevent them from learning
- Thought of as lustful and degrading towards their female peers
- Not held accountable for actions

**Conclusion:** Dress codes are often formed with the idea of creating a healthy and safe learning environment, but often end up targeting the female student body as a result. Dress codes need to be updated to create rules that are inclusive to everyone.

## Suggested Improvements:

- Consider student voice
- Make rules age appropriate
- Make rules practical for weather and culture
- Create an inclusive learning environment

## References:

- Calogero, R. M., Tylka, T. L., Siegel, J. A., Pina, A., & Roberts, T.-A. (2021). Smile pretty and watch your back: Personal safety anxiety and vigilance in objectification theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 121(6), 1195–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1037/psp0000344>
- Hein, J. (2020). *Insights Into What Influences School Administrators to Adopt a School Dress Code: An Analysis of School Administrators' Perceptions of Safety, Discipline, and Student Voice*. University of Oklahoma.
- Hoose, G. (2018). *Redressing Dress Codes: The Effects of Sexualized School Dress Codes*. 7. [https://soar.suny.edu/bitstream/handle/20.500.12648/2765/dissentingvoices/vol7/iss17/fulltext%20\(1\).pdf?sequence=1](https://soar.suny.edu/bitstream/handle/20.500.12648/2765/dissentingvoices/vol7/iss17/fulltext%20(1).pdf?sequence=1)
- Johnston, H. (2009). *Student Dress Codes and Uniforms* (University of South Florida). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED537953.pdf>
- Lim, H., Lennon, S., & Jones, D. (2021). Objectification Found in High School Girls' Experience With Dress Code Enforcement. *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, 0887302X2110584. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302X211058434>
- Martin, J. L., & Brooks, J. N. (2021). Lost and Faded, Yoga Pants and Spaghetti Straps: Discrimination in Dress Codes and School Pushout. *International Journal of Education Policy and Leadership*, 16(19). <https://doi.org/10.22230/ijep/2020v16n19a1047>
- Neville-Shepard, M. (2019). Disciplining the Female Student Body: Consequential Transference in Arguments for School Dress Codes. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 42(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07491409.2019.1573771>
- Raby, R. (2010). “Tank Tops Are Ok but I Don’t Want to See Her Thong”: Girls’ Engagements With Secondary School Dress Codes. *Youth & Society*, 41(3), 333–356. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X09333663>
- Solomon, O. (2021). *The Issues of Biased School Dress Codes* [Duquesne Scholarship Collection]. <https://disc.duq.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1047&context=russ>
- Vilagrana, S. (2021). *Are Post-1996 K-12 Public School Dress Codes a Form of Sexualization on Women?* University of California, Riverside.
- Whitman, G. M. (2020). A Curricular Critique of School Dress Codes. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 93(2), 72–77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.2020.1721415>