SG INITIATES STATEMENT OF SUPPORT

The SG, under the direction of Maureen Dersi '67, President, recently initiated a statement supporting President Johnson's policy in Viet Nam. SG members are soliciting student signatures and will send the statement to Connecticut Senators Thomas Dodd (D) and Abraham Ribicoff (D).

A copy of the statement will also be sent to Iona College, New Rochelle, which has requested area colleges to poll their students in regard to the Viet Nam situation. Iona's letter reads, in part:

"Because of these (anti-Viet) demonstrations and the type of people who have been supporting them, the image of the American college student has been lowered and debased not only in our own country, but all over the world... Iona College will hold an intercollegiate meeting... in support of the President's policy in Viet Nam."

The meeting, to be held in early December, will provide speakers familiar with the Viet Nam situation, and will attempt to define student responsibility in the light of present student opposition to U.S. policy in Viet Nam. Delegates from SHU will attend the meeting.

To Discuss Activities

Questions of college policy and procedure in regard to student activities will be discussed by a SG committee. The committee will include Deans O'Sullivan, Hughes, and Tackowiak; Miss Carol Day, Chairman of the Faculty Committee on Student Activities; Dr. Alan Newman, Moderator of the SG; Peter Schwickert, Jr. Class Pres., and Maureen Dersi.

Among the topics to be discussed are:...
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Spirit

To the Editor:

Spirit is finally a part of the Sacred Heart University student body. During these past months the students have demonstrated that they can go out and support the team, no matter what they are playing or how cold the weather is.

I think that when I say this, I am talking on behalf of all the members of the Soccer Club and our coach. The student body has a great concern about the sport, and this is in line with the curriculum of United States national sports.

I hope this spirit is continued throughout the present basketball season.

Thank you,

Israel Menchero '67

ANONYMOUS LETTERS TO THE WASTEBASKET

Man Forgets

To the Editor:

How short the memory of man is. Two years ago a man was killed in Dallas. The sorrow at his passing was indeed genuine, and yet today we still witness the hate, war and destruction which John Fitzgerald Kennedy sought to correct, and for which he gave the last full measure of devotion. As we Reckon this, I think that there are a lot of things to be learned about the spirit, but no matter what you know about it, you still went out there and cheered for the team.

I hope this spirit is continued throughout the present basketball season.

Sincerely,

David Layden '67

ON RATING PROFESSORS

Yale students have recently joined the University of California and suffered other well-known ailments in the practice of grading professors. Although students have passed judgment on their teachers throughout history, a later innovation has placed these judgments in a little black book, theory and without even relating anything you learn to anything else.

We question the reasoning behind this argument since most consider the student's task to relate to the subject matter.

Are we to assume that an administrator would disapprove the professor who is "universally hated," or the "insufferable bore" who judged "the office"? We believe that the professor gain promotion if he is rated a "professor through and through." With due consideration to the professor's present responsibilities, why are we in college? The word "student" loses part of its flavor when followed by a passive verb.

Every college student reserves the right, in fact, the duty to voice any ideological differences of opinion with a faculty member. But should he attempt to judge the whole man?

Our responses, as college students, to coordinate living with learning. We will never find the ideal situation for either. But since each person has an individual worth, would it be better to take the more constructive position? Each professor, despite his human failings, has something to offer those who are open to ideas.

Shall we trade Socrates' hemlock for a "Campus Guide" as we shout out the flame of a mediocrity professor or deal a deathblow to the blossoming young newcomer?

College students, above all, must not seek to compartmentalize, but to go forth to lead the land we love, to judge of our deeds, let us go forth to lead the land we love, and every falsehood. Although there was no evidence of such an act, the press exaggerated the extent of the "protest." But it only mentions that since 1940 and before Dr. King has been in the Viet Nam protests, we achieved new heights. We are now a generation of Communists underneath who do not think at all, but live on sex, boredom and beer.

Perhaps this statement is a gross exaggeration, but, as one opinion is not so cut and dried, I believe it is worthwhile to mention. Yale Provost Professor Stanley Lydell called for "civil disobedience" in the '60s. This is the "precious epithet" and became "the instrument of students." Last week, in the Viet Nam protests, we achieved new heights, but we are now a generation of Communist sympathizers who do not think, but only live on sex, boredom and beer.

For, if men are not entitled to the freedom of expression and respect for their beliefs, we cannot be called a democracy, and our "precious epithet" cannot be the true one.

Sincerely,

Chris Hunter '68

SUBVERVERS?

When this business in Viet Nam started, everyone in our school swore his allegiance to some political voice. A majority stood together, determined to do something. The situation was promising.

When I began asking myself WHAT! Why? And the more I thought, the madder I became.

I wanted to FIGHT and OBJECT! Burn and Protest, Lead Marches and demonstrations. Fight, FIGHT, FIGHT! [All for Peace]

I wonder what it's all about anyway.

The controversy is whether we, adults or college students, believe in free expression, and in fact, in democracy.

We consider ourselves as individualists. We would not believe that is possible through our Constitution which has been set down in Bill of Rights. Yet, I do not believe we have achieved the mean­ning of democracy if individuals existing in our country so maliciously at­tacked. It is one thing to intellectually attack beliefs one opposes, and it is quite another to maliciously attack the believers! And, those protesters who advocated drafting the anti-Viet Nam protesters should consider this: if they fight, evidence that they are still fighting for the same thing. If they are not, they are left out. The concrete and ideological are not the same. Subversive exists in the fact that the "soldiers" practice what they preach, and I would rather be accused of a "prophets' test" than not their practicing.

But, actually, the point is that one is not being a pacifist, nor a militarist, and continues to be a civil, intelligent, and vital human being. For, if men are not entitled to the expression and respect for their beliefs, we cannot be called a democracy, and our "precious epithet" cannot be the true one.

Sincerely,

La Feiffer
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THE OBELISK welcomes letters from its readers for publication. All letters should be addressed to the Editor, and should be dropped in the mailbox outside the office.

Unduly long letters may be reduced or omitted if the editors discretion. All letters should be double spaced. Correspondence intended for immediate publication must be submitted at least one week prior to publication. Opinions that are expressed in published correspondence are not necessarily those of the editorial staff.
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Thinking on the World

By MARCEL dEMULLER

Over the past few weeks, as the Vietnamese war grew hotter, there arose blatant opposition to the American commitment in that troubled sub-Asian country, from many quarters. The most notable opposition came from University age students, eligible for military service, who have manifested their protestation by burning their draft cards publicly and fomenting the general mood of disloyalty. As a reaction, students of the same age group opposed the opposers, all while chanting the virtues of unquestionable service and devotion to one's country, and the cause of freedom and democracy.

It would seem appropriate that we should appraise each point of view and in the last analysis, select the more sensible course of action to follow.

From all reports, the greater majority of American students could be classified as supporters of their country's role in Vietnam. This is rewarding, for it indicates to the somewhat skeptical and disparaging adult world that youth still holds dear the heritage of patriotism, and such abstractions as love of freedom and the cause of universal liberty. Obviously these students believe in a sound and productive future which they are building by their devotion.

On the other hand, we must not be too quick to accuse the anti-militants of treason or cowardice; it may hold true that there are among those who deliberately wish to shirk their responsibility to God and country, but there are probably others who consider the Vietnamese war was a pointless and precarious venture for the world's peace. Some of these individuals have tried by way of justification to state their reasons by reminding us of the Korean war, a similar conflict, which ended in a tenuous truce and cost millions of dollars.

In conclusion, the need to express personal feelings has always been an integral part of democracy, but in time of war we must, as all generations before us did, sacrifice our own positions and possibly our own lives for the preservation of democracy.

Differing Views

CAMPUSES TRIGGERED ON U. S. WAR POLICY

"Let the word go forth from this time and place, to friend and foe alike, that the torch has been passed to a new generation of Americans - born in this century, tempered by war, disciplined by a hard and bitter peace, proud of our ancient heritage and unwilling to witness or permit the slow undoing of those human rights to which this nation has always been committed, and to which we are committed today and around the world" J. F. K.

Throughout history, men have found themselves face to face with the dual necessity of solving the problems of the present and at the same time concerning themselves with the future. The dual need for progress brought them as they attempted to learn from the past, work in the present, and mold the future.

The search for peace should be the first concern of all governments. It requires the utmost intelligence, the clearest vision, and a strong sense of reality. Until there can be an enforceable treaty providing for disarmament, there will be no serious attempt to try and conquer the world, as we have the audacity to ask W Tiy our men.

Disregard for this search should be the first concern of all governments. It re­quires the utmost intelligence, the strongest sense of reality to the future.

The search for peace should be the first concern of all governments. It re­quires the utmost intelligence, the clearest vision, and a strong sense of reality.

The search for peace should be the first concern of all governments. It re­quires the utmost intelligence, the clearest vision, and a strong sense of reality.

A. B. C. WAR TODAY IS HOR­RIBLE BEYOND IMAGINATION!

But, does that make our part; our free men any less Valued or Valued?

Ours is the responsibility to strive for the sake of the individual, the good of our society... because from the individual moral fiber is woven our national character. We take pride in recalling Valley Forge, San Juan Hill, Bastogne, Iwo Jima, and Pusan. We remember the force of the Nazis 40 million miles rolling in the trenches and poppy fields of Europe, and the tragedy of Hiroshima. We saw the Iron Curtain make a prison out of half of Europe and saw China go Communist.

This is an era in which above every­thing else, the question of peace and war is polarized dangerously. The nuclear stalemate of today is a paradox that brings hope. It makes full scale war impossible — because no nation can reasonably hope to win. With the ad­vent of the Bomb, absolute military power vanished forever.

Assailed by a variety of changes and problems, we cannot avoid the responsi­bility that history has placed upon us, that of facing up to and turning away insanity, whenever it tries ad­vance. We are now faced with the problem in Viet Nam — indeed, in all of Southeast Asia.

The stalemated in Southeast Asia are hailing Laos and Viet Nam... fall to the Communists — they would literally take with them — Cambodia, Laos, and Burma — and even Malaya, the Philippines, and Australia, which is only five thousand miles from San Francisco. And some have the audacity to ask Why our men are in Viet Nam.

American history is a study of men who at the risk of themselves, their future, their families stood fast for principle. Courage, conviction, and willingness to die, are not new to Americans. We are faced with the pres­ervation of those ideals today.

Ours is the job of saving freedom. Korea, where we have saved it before, as we are doing now it — for the simplest and most compelling reason of all — because it is right. If we are com­mitted to the support of the right, as the U. S. is — by history, and by choice, then it does not matter how many weapons or bombs we are threatened with.

What matter is that we are committed to defend what is right and that all is to it. Otherwise we lose our self respect, honor, and decency. Also in this twentieth cen­tury we lose our democracy, democracy, America. We have to face the fact and beyond our American citizenship to all the people of the world. The im­portance of freedom for all, and the cause of the world, is the same as the one we knew. From all reports, the greater majority of American students could be classified as supporters of their country's role in Vietnam. This is rewarding, for it indicates to the somewhat skeptical and disparaging adult world that youth still holds dear the heritage of patriotism, and such abstractions as love of freedom and the cause of universal liberty. Obviously these students believe in a sound and productive future which they are building by their devotion.

On the other hand, we must not be too quick to accuse the anti-militants of treason or cowardice; it may hold true that there are among those who deliberately wish to shirk their responsibility to God and country, but there are probably others who consider the Vietnamese war was a pointless and precarious venture for the world's peace. Some of these individuals have tried by way of justification to state their reasons by reminding us of the Korean war, a similar conflict, which ended in a tenuous truce and cost millions of dollars.

In conclusion, the need to express personal feelings has always been an integral part of democracy, but in time of war we must, as all generations before us did, sacrifice our own positions and possibly our own lives for the preservation of democracy.

"It's All Right Ma — I'm Only Bleeding"

By Gerald Saladyga

Twenty years of war: Hiroshima, Nagasaki, China, Formosa, Bataan, Corregidor, Poland, Hungary, Rize, Cuba, Algeria, Laos, Pakistan, India, South Vietnam, Suez, and Pope John XXIII, in his death agony, stated, "I am afraid that my country will become involved in a new war." And now we are involved in a war in Viet Nam; we are supporting the destruction of all mankind. Both the United States and China have the means of annihilating in a few minutes all that man has achieved during his entire existence on this planet. When does civil invasion say, "Stop, we have had enough of killing." And civilization is comprised of individuals. Thus the individ­uals in other words you must ask yourself. When should I say, 'Stop, I have had enough of killing.'? If one were to look at the history of man in retrospect and compare the world as we know it today, with the world as it was 20 years ago, we find that the only thing that has changed is the means of destroying the world. In the past, man used...
The officers of the recently chartered Ephebian Society in- clude: Robert Proudfoot, presi- dent; Daniel King, vice-president; Dennis Diamond, re- cording secretary; James Go- bain, editor; and Chris Cross- by, corresponding secretary. Dr. Bruce Muller is the society ad- viser and Father Edmund Mc- DERMOTT is chaplain.

The History Club

At a recent History Club meeting, held at the home of Jan Decero, members discussed the question, "Does the law change the man or must a man change the law?"

Dr. Elizabeth Kelly, professor of education and psychology, re- cently addressed the 45th an- nual state convention of the Department of Special Education at St. Katharine's Day School in Fairfield. Dr. Kelly chose as her topic, "Special Education and the Good Society."

"Thanks!"
The Pro Philiad Society, which recently conducted a canned goods drive for the needy would like to extend thanks to those students who participated in this project.

---

Extension Volunteer Delivers Challenge

"I think that the easiest thing for a person to do is to face the responsibilities of Christianity. The challenge is not the demands of the mission field but the demands that face you in your own home town.

"I, Lawrence V. Benson, an Extension Society Volunteer, spoke of the challenge and the advantage of serving in Home Missions at yesterday's corporation. He discussed the need for college students to work with the Church and extended an invitation to experience a full active Christian life through Extension Society work."

The Christian volunteer noted, "Extension could be a kind of personal and social development program for the next 30-40 years." The challenge being met by the Extension Society volunteer is beginning with the responsibility for one's own life and the lives of others.
Championship Ties Flourish

Andstl's 4-1
Vikings 4-1
Delphians 4-1
Colts 1-4
C.C.A.'s 1-4
SHU's intramural football league finished with a flourish. There was a three way championship tie. On Wednesday, Nov. 10, 1965, the Andstl's won the first play off game 26-18 over the league finished with a flourish. Andstl's met the Delphians in the final playoff game of SHU's intramural football league.

Andstl's quickly jumped out in front 6-0 on an end run by Dave Russell, culminating a sustained drive.

It's All Right Ma, I'm Only Bleeding

Continued from Page 3

If any one nation does win, then of course, it would mean that the war would continue for years.

Ephemelians

Plant Trees

Initiatives and innovation are indeed present at SHU. The clearest example can be seen in the new Japanese yankee plants along the walk leading to the parking lot. The project, under the leadership of Ken Hanley, consisted of 200 man-hours of labor and $250 of expense.

This is only one phase of the campus beautification project being conducted by the Ephemelians. The Ephemelian President Bob Proudfoot, said that the project will extend into the following semesters.

This project plainly shows school pride and spirit. Its end should be indicative of all our activities.

University Players

Continued from Page 1

On an exchange of punts the Andstl's forced the Delphians back to about the 5 yard line. On the second play from scrimmage, a mixup in signals resulted in a Chanley Carriери interception. Carriери raced untouched into the end zone. This play proved to be the deciding factor in the game.

The Delphians quickly countered with a series of plays that terminated when Jim Abernathy passed to the diving Bob Buco. This touchdown brought the tally to 12-6.

With the Andstl's deep in their own territory, Jeff Hohl caught Carriери behind the goal line for 2 more Delphian points.

New Society

Chartered

Officers of the newly chartered Adelphian society are President, Nancy Newton, '68; Vice-president, Arlene Lands, '68; Corresponding secretary, Lynn Fontianna, '68; Corresponding secretary, Judi O'Connor, '68; and Treasurer, Robert Catterson, '68.

At SHU, Dr. Clark is organizing the department of education. Along these lines she is presently setting up an Instructional Materials Center on campus.

Dr. Clark Cited For Achievement

Dr. Ellis C. Clark, director of elementary education, recently received Marquette University's Alumni Award. The award, which is presented annually, recognizes Dr. Clark's outstanding contributions in the field of education.

More Letters...

Subversives

Continued from Page 2

"The modern civil disobedient does not wish to revolt, but rather to reform. If the American revolutionaries could disobey laws by blackmailing means, then, to me, it seems to me the civil disobedient can disobey unjust laws bylesia by passing placards.

Quoting Voltaire, "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it." To which sincerely, "Amen."

S.G. Initiates Statement

Caused are:
1. Social Calendar Committee of the S.G.
2. School dance.
4. Academic Student Attire.
5. Types of student organizations requiring S.G. charters.

Charters

Israel Mencher '67, Chairman of the Charter Committee, reports that the Adelphians and Ephemelians Societies were recently chartered for the first time. Charters were re-issued to the Philian, Kreuzfahrer Society, and Panta Delphians.

Memorial Plaque

The S.G. will place a plaque in the Chapel in memory of deceased students Catherine Chamberlain '68 — January 1, 1965, and A. Blincardi '67 — June 20, 1965.

Further Plans

Further plans of the S.G. include a report to the student body presenting the results of an investigation into price and quality of food in the cafeteria.

There is also a possibility of establishing an independent film society on an academic-club basis. The club would present artistic and cultural films with lectures and discussions.

Running a teacher out of town was the medieval university students' method of protest. Sit-ins, picketing, and marching are the 20th century methods. All are surface indications of the university students' traditional role as active members in the college community. Many SHU students do not fully appreciate that noble or ignoble tradition. They have not made the transfer from the passive student of compulsory education to the active participant in "higher education."

The active student is aware that he voluntarily attends a college for career and intellectual purposes and at the same time he realizes that education just doesn't happen. There is no Super-will infallibly directing the process. Faculty meetings usually do not include a talking, frey bush. Students do not fast in upper rooms before exams. The problem of making college more than an endurance test or a four year quiz program is largely the student's.

A university is neither a democracy nor a dictatorship. The active student accepts the leadership of the teacher, yet is aware of his inalienable right to question or reject. The passive student, on the other hand, expects education by osmosis. He demands the simplest kind of instruction. He is either satisfied with mediocrity or measures his success against the college community. It is a place to leave at two or three in the afternoon.

This person will probably get "through" college. He can very possibly get a degree by doing the right thing at the right time. But he will not be educated. He won't be fully "liberalized." He will remain in the feudal society delightfully in the battle of ideas.

Subversives

Continued from Page 2

"The modern civil disobedient does not wish to revolt, but rather to reform. If the American revolutionaries could disobey laws by blackmailing means, then, to me, it seems to me the civil disobedient can disobey unjust laws by passing placards."

Carole  Hennessey, Colleen Andrusi, Timothy Flynn, Robert Corcoran, and John Petretta.

Memorial Plaque

"The modern civil disobedient does not wish to revolt, but rather to reform. If the American revolutionaries could disobey laws by blackmailing means, then, to me, it seems to me the civil disobedient can disobey unjust laws by passing placards."

Carole  Hennessey, Colleen Andrusi, Timothy Flynn, Robert Corcoran, and John Petretta.

Scholarly initiatives... Continued from Page 2

Doctor Bruce Muller of the Psychology department will be available for counseling on academic, vocational, and personal problems. Appointments may be made with the secretary in the Dean of Men's office.
The Sacred Heart University Pioneers jumped on the win-
ing track by defeating the Fairfield University Stags on Oct. 9. This marked the first victory for the soccer team in its initial varsity campaign.

The Stags started the ball rolling for SHU by connecting for the soccer team in its initial three goals in the second quarter by tying up the score at 1-1. Then, co-cap-	ain Mike Pantano equalized the match as the Pioneers dominated the second and third Pio-

neer goals in the 2nd and 3rd quarters. Greg C r i a d a n a son should have the team’s lead, 1-1. Fairfield plunked in a last goal but it was just too late. The Stags were already bagged.

New Haven College, a team with more than 10 Mitchell College among its victims, was the Pioneer’s next oppo-
tent. The kickers from the Elit-
en ran up a 9-1 victory over the Park Ave. eleven. Graham English, calling the only SHU goal on a pass from Jimmy Golub.

On Sat, Nov. 6, the Pioneers, playing their last game of the season, took the field against the University of Bridgeport Frosh. SHU entered this game without the services of Kevin Hunt and Greg Ciredduro, who were sidelined with ankle in-

juries, and were without their star center back, John Donoghue. Starting half-back John Denbosky was forced out of the lineup during the course of the game with an ankle injury.

The Pioneers, under the guidance of the Purple Knights handed the Pioneer booters their first shutout of the year, 9-0. Thus Sacred Heart University ended its regular campaign with a 1-5 won-lost record.

In answer to a question con-

cerning the merits of the sea-

son, Coach Marina added that the team’s high morale and team work is commendable. The Pioneers stopped the offensive dribblers from moving and passing the ball, dove for loose balls, and per-

formed creditably off both boards. Joey Gannisto battled all over the court, putting pressure on his opponents and forcing them into numerous errors. Well executed passes hit John Donoghue for five easy baskets in the first half. When the defense closed up the middle in the second half, Dave Riehl scored seven of his ten points jump shots from the head of the key.

Although the Pioneers’ ‘prevent” defense allowed 72 points, their offense produced three more. The final: 78-72, SHU!

On Nov. 11, the Pioneers scrimmaged the UB Frosh, already unbeaten. They won both games, 9-0 in the first and 9-3 in the second. The results were disastrous for Sacred Heart. The Pioneer’s next opponent was the University of Bridgeport. SHU entered this game with a 1-5 won-lost record. In answer to a question concerning the merits of the sea-

son, Coach Feeley added that the team’s high morale and team work is commendable. Teamwork played a major role in the team’s success. Joey Gannisto continued his inspiring hustle by batting the ball away from the opposition and forcing turnovers. The opposing guards harassed the Pioneers to 26 points in the first half. The other Park Ave. five canned 36 points and left the floor at half with a 10 point edge.

But in the end, SHU’s offensive ball control and “Prevent” defense told the story. Since there are many new players on this year’s basketball- team, the only book of each will be beneficial to the spectator.

DAVID RIEHL — Dave is a 6’2” junior who missed most of last season because of an injury. In his freshman year, Dave was truly a standout in S.H. His ability does not lie in the finest of a ballet dancer, but because of his strength and size, is a strong player. His first concern is winning, all games but his biggest asset is as good as a basket to Mike.

JOHN DONAGHUE — John is a 6’1” freshman who played at Central High School in Bridgeport. He is a strong player who can play at guard or forward. He should be the key play on the team.

WOODY LAKE — Woody is a 6’0” freshman who played in his high school days at Stam-

ford. He is both fast and de-
ceptive and will beat you any way he can. Woody has the ability to be an outstanding ball player this year.

PETER JANKOWSKI — Pete is a 5’11” freshman who has played for Mr. Feeley at Andrew Warde. He has a deadly shot and is as quick as lightning. Pete was Mr. Feeley’s outstanding 11 a player at Andrew Warde, and he should repeat that per-

formance at S.H.

W A L L Y H E A R B O O C K — Wally is a 5’9” junior whose eye is compared to a hawk’s He has the best shot on the team and shoots at a consistently high percentage. He promises to be the big gun from the outside. The Pioneers do not have a proven game on the sched-

ule. Every game will call for “supreme” effort. If all the ball players give Mr. Feeley all their energy, the team can go far, and if some of the tougher teams don’t show up, look for a “500” season.